

# Quantum Field Theory: Example Sheet 3

Dr David Tong, October 2006

1. The Weyl representation of the Clifford algebra is given by,

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Show that these indeed satisfy  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  comes with an implicit  $4 \times 4$  unit matrix. Find a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $(\gamma')^\mu = U\gamma^\mu U^\dagger$ , where  $(\gamma')^\mu$  form the Dirac representation of the Clifford algebra

$$(\gamma')^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\gamma')^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

2. Show that if  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$ , then

$$[\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 2\eta^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\kappa \gamma^\nu - 2\eta^{\kappa\mu} \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu + 2\eta^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\kappa - 2\eta^{\kappa\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda. \quad (3)$$

Show further that  $S^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu})$ . Use this to confirm that the matrices  $S^{\mu\nu}$  form a representation of the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group.

3. Using just the algebra  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$  (i.e. without resorting to a particular representation), and defining  $\gamma^5 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ ,  $\not{p} = p_\mu \gamma^\mu$  and  $S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ , prove the following results: (*Some useful tricks include the cyclicity of the trace, and inserting  $(\gamma^5)^2 = 1$  into a trace.*)

- i.  $\text{Tr}\gamma^\mu = 0$
- ii.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 4\eta^{\mu\nu}$
- iii.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho) = 0$
- iv.  $(\gamma^5)^2 = 1$
- v.  $\text{Tr}\gamma^5 = 0$
- vi.  $\not{p} \not{q} = 2p \cdot q - \not{q} \not{p} = p \cdot q + 2S^{\mu\nu} p_\mu q_\nu$
- vii.  $\text{Tr}(\not{p} \not{q}) = 4p \cdot q$

- viii.  $\text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_n) = 0$  if  $n$  is odd
- ix.  $\text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \not{p}_4) = 4[(p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) + (p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) - (p_1 \cdot p_3)(p_2 \cdot p_4)]$
- x.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2) = 0$
- xi.  $\gamma_\mu \not{p} \gamma^\mu = -2 \not{p}$
- xii.  $\gamma_\mu \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \gamma^\mu = 4p_1 \cdot p_2$
- xiii.  $\gamma_\mu \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \gamma^\mu = -2 \not{p}_3 \not{p}_2 \not{p}_1$
- xiv.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \not{p}_4) = 4i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_1^\mu p_2^\nu p_3^\rho p_4^\sigma$

4. The plane-wave solutions to the Dirac equation are

$$u^s(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v^s(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ -\sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where  $\sigma^\mu = (1, \vec{\sigma})$  and  $\bar{\sigma}^\mu = (1, -\vec{\sigma})$  and  $\xi^s$ , with  $s = 1, 2$ , is a basis of orthonormal two-component spinors, satisfying  $(\xi^r)^\dagger \cdot \xi^s = \delta^{rs}$ . Show that

$$\begin{aligned} u^r(\vec{p})^\dagger \cdot u^s(\vec{p}) &= 2p_0 \delta^{rs} \\ \bar{u}^r(\vec{p}) \cdot u^s(\vec{p}) &= 2m \delta^{rs} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} v^r(\vec{p})^\dagger \cdot v^s(\vec{p}) &= 2p_0 \delta^{rs} \\ \bar{v}^r(\vec{p}) \cdot v^s(\vec{p}) &= -2m \delta^{rs} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Show also that the orthonality condition between  $u$  and  $v$  is

$$\bar{u}^s(\vec{p}) \cdot v^r(\vec{p}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

while taking the inner product using  $\dagger$  requires an extra minus sign

$$u^r(\vec{p})^\dagger \cdot v^s(-\vec{p}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

5. Using the same notation as Question 4 show that

$$\sum_{s=1}^2 u^s(\vec{p}) \bar{u}^s(\vec{p}) = \not{p} + m \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^2 v^s(\vec{p}) \bar{v}^s(\vec{p}) = \not{p} - m \quad (10)$$

where, rather than being contracted, the two spinors on the left-hand side are placed back to back to form a  $4 \times 4$  matrix.

**6.** The Fourier decomposition of the Dirac operator  $\psi(\vec{x})$  and the conjugate field  $\psi^\dagger(\vec{x})$  is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[ b_{\vec{p}}^s u^s(\vec{p}) e^{+i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} v^s(\vec{p}) e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right] \\ \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[ b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} u^s(\vec{p})^\dagger e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + c_{\vec{p}}^s v^s(\vec{p})^\dagger e^{+i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

The creation and annihilation operators are taken to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\{b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \\ \{c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

with all other anti-commutators vanishing,

$$\{b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \{c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} = \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^s\} = \dots = 0 \quad (13)$$

Show that these imply that the field and its conjugate momenta satisfy the anti-commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta(\vec{y})\} &= \{\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})\} = 0 \\ \{\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})\} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

(Note: The calculation is very similar to that for the bosonic field, but at some point you will need to make use of the identities (9) and (10)).

**7.** Using the results of Question 6, show that the quantum Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \bar{\psi}(-i\gamma^i \partial_i + m)\psi \quad (15)$$

can be written, after normal ordering, as

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} \sum_{s=1}^2 \left[ b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s + c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} c_{\vec{p}}^s \right] \quad (16)$$

(Note: Again, the calculation is very similar to that for the bosonic field. This time you will need to make use of the identities derived in Questions 4 and 5).

**8.** The purpose of this question is to give you a glimpse into the spin-statistics theorem. This theorem roughly says that if you try to quantize a field with the wrong statistics, bad things will happen. Here we'll see what goes wrong if you try to quantize a spin 1/2 field as a boson. We start with the usual decomposition (11). This time we choose bosonic commutation relations for the annihilation and creation operators,

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}] &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \\ [c_{\vec{p}}^r, c_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}] &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with all other commutators vanishing. Note the strange minus sign for the  $c$  operators. Repeat the calculation of Question 6 to show that these are equivalent to the commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta(\vec{y})] &= [\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})] = 0 \\ [\psi_\alpha(\vec{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{y})] &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now repeat the calculation of Question 7, to show that, after normal ordering, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} \sum_{s=1}^2 [b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s - c_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} c_{\vec{p}}^s] \quad (19)$$

This Hamiltonian is not bounded below: you can lower the energy indefinitely by creating more and more  $c$  particles. This is the reason a theory of bosonic spin 1/2 particles is sick.